



10/07/20

4D experimental testing and simulations for statistical analysis of crystal plasticity in structural materials

BIGMECA COPIL

- PhD student : RIBART Clement
- PhD supervisor: PROUDHON Henry

Agenda





Overview

- Multimodal experimental data
 - Digital twins reconstruction
 - Lab tensile tests
 - SEM in-situ campaigns
 - 3D LabDCT scans

Simulation data

- Behavior law model
- Parameters identification
- Meshing
- Simulations (FEA,FFT)
- Achievement & Outlook

Overview - Background

- Motivation : Establish Process-Structure-Properties relationships.
- Mechanisms investigated :
 - Intra-granular slip systems activation
 - Inter-granular plasticity propagation
 - Influence and evolution of dislocations
 - Lattice curvature evolution



Slip bands - SEM in-situ microscopy (Stinville et al., 2016)



DAXM: Differential Aperture Xray Microscopy SEM : Scanning Electron Microscopy

Overview - DCT opportunity

- DCT at ESRF (Ludwig et al., 2009) (Reischig et al., 2013)
- DCT compatible in-situ stress rigs (Xlab) (Gueninchault, 2016)
- First signs of plasticity in volume : Slip bands, lattice curvature (Proudhon, 2018)
- New laboratory sources : LabDCT ZEISS





Zeiss Xradia Versa X-ray Microscope with LabDCT

ESRF : European synchrotron (Grenoble) In-situ = 4D = Measure of deformations during the test = adding temporal dimension



Complexity of mechanical mechanisms at mesoscopic scale (~10³ grains) (**plasticity**, damage and fracture, crack propagation, twinning)

Current approaches limited to extract physical data, derive behavior models in realistic time frame

Case study (Chen et Daly, 2018):

- Twinning in Magnesium Large FOV (1,400 grains)
- SEM-DIC : 10⁸ data points
- How to extract single grain twinning information ?



DIC : Digital Image Correlation FOV: Field of view SEM: Scanning Electron Microscope







Overview - Machine Learning opportunity



- **Statistical learning** applied to mechanics allows to extract physics based data from large datasets
- Combined with :
 - CDM expertise: characterization, simulation
 - DCT technique : 4D Deployment at SOLEIL, 3D LabDCT



CDM : Centre des Matériaux DCT : Diffraction Contrast Tomography SOLEIL : Synchrotron at Plateau de Saclay (Paris Area)

Previous status reminder (06/09/20)



• **Material**: Titanium (T40) Phase-α, HCP lattice



EBSD : (a) Initial grain size ~ **15µm**, (b) : After Heat treatment (855°C, 17h, 24h, Argon) : ~ **50µm**





Digital twins : 6 single scans successfully reconstructed both for 3D and 4D resolutions

DCT = Diffraction Contrast Tomography EDM = Electrical Discharge Machining EBSD : Electron Back Scattered Diffraction

FF = Far Field HCP = Hexagonal Closed Pack PCT = Phase Contrast Tomography (Ludwig et al. 2009) (Reishig et al. 2013)

Experimental data – PSICHE data

- PCT, FF and in-situ log data recovered from PSICHE
- PCT data cleaned and used to generate absorption masks











FF : Far Field PCT: Phase Contrast Tomography

Experimental data – PSICHE Digital twins

- 2 PSICHE reconstructed scans merged and dilated (Pymicro)
- 3,200 grains (Total 10 scans = <u>10,000 grains</u> = complete digital twin)



MINES ParisTech

PSL 🖈

Experimental data – Lab tensile tests





3.0

Monotonic tensile test with Bulky + post treatment with ARIANE routine

Samples (same batch)	ET9_3_	ET9_5	
Initial section	0.63 mm ²	0.67 mm ²	
Cross-head speed	2 µm/s	2 µm/s	
L0 (µ-indents)	2,400 µm	2,400 µm	
Force max	223 N	200	
ε _{total}	2.88 %	1.2 %	
ε _P	2.5 %	0.8 %	
σΜΑΧ	354 MPa	299 MPa	
E homogenized	105,00	000 MPa	

Micrography:

Optical : Out of plane plasticity SEM : No slip bands visible directly

Interpretation :

T40 too pure. Limited localization of dislocations accumulation for strains < 3% = DCT limit

Nano speckle needed for SEM-DIC

(MPa) 200 5 150 100 50 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 Strain (%) Slip bands ? **Optical** microscope 20µm Optical microscope 100um

350 300

250

Ep =3,3% Ep =4.15% Ep =6,65% Ep =8,65%

Fig. 8. Evolution of in-plane and out-of-plane sliding for two grain boundaries in Grade 2 Ti.

SEM: Scanning Electron Microscopy

(Doquet 2016)

CDM : Centre des Matériaux DCT: Diffraction Contrast Tomography DIC = Digital Image Correlation

Experimental data: SEM in-situ preparation

• DCT 4D data :

- − Reconstruction limited to $ε_P = 3 \%$ → No access to slip bands for T40
- Explore ability to access to lattice curvature

• SEM surface data :

- In-situ machine available + new adapters
- Currently, cannot take advantage of secondary electrons scanning
- Ability to access to lattice curvature with EBSD
- Need to test SEM capacity to tilt in-situ machine (otherwise: ex-situ)
- Explore **SEM-DIC** technique: Potential to access to **slip bands traces**
- DMS student support: Kenza ZOUGAGH



DCT: Diffraction Contrast Tomography EBSD : Electron Back Scattered Diffraction SEM: Scanning Electron Microscopy DIC : Digital Image Correlation UCSB: University of California Santa Barbara

Experimental data - 3D LabDCT



- Lund University owns a LabDCT
- 2 samples sent for scanning :
 - 800x800µm section
 - Reference EBSD + SEM Mapping
- **Results**:
 - Promising. Some artefacts
 - Need samples with smaller sections
 - \rightarrow New batch : 21 samples 600x600µm section



Zeiss Xradia Versa X-ray Microscope with LabDCT

Opportunity : Combine Lab 3D DCT with SEM data on each sample (complementary to synchrotron) $\omega = -108^{\circ}$







Top View, Slice 95 of 191

Completeness Map

IPF (+Z) Map



Simulated data – Behavior model

- **Material** : Titanium (T40) Phase- α , HCP lattice, c/a = 1.58
- Model: Crystal plasticity:
 - Elastic orthotropic (Simmons and Wang, 1971)
 - Active slip systems: prismatic, basal
 - Flow rule: Norton $\dot{\gamma}^s$ —

$$\dot{\gamma}^{s} = \operatorname{sign}(\tau^{s}) \left\langle \frac{|\tau^{s}| - \tau_{c}^{s}}{K} \right\rangle^{n}$$

- Hardening: Isotropic non linear. Only self hardening $R = R0 + Q \left(1 - e^{-bp}\right)$



Engineering strain/stress curve: Comparison experimental vs model



• Parameters identification: First fitting



Simulated data – Meshing

2 sub volumes extracted from PSICHE volume ~ 300 grains Morphological meshing (F. N'GUYEN) Attempt 1 : Rough grains, distorted elements, artefacts ELSET Volume middle Volume corner : Free surface 74x72x70 voxels : 316 grains

PSL 🖈

Simulated data – Meshing

- Ad hoc mesh strategy
- Mesh criteria : Undistorted elements, #elements, smooth surface on ELSET
- **1st** morphological post-treatment : obtain acceptable meshing aspect Convexify ELSET + adapt geodesic triangulation density to grains size



Simulated data – Meshing

- 2nd morphological post-treatment : delete artefact ELSET
 - Original grain map : 316 grains low connexity
 - 560 ELSET high connexity
 - − Treatment → 302 ELSET, 75,392 elements
 - Small grains discarded
- 3rd treatment : ELSET reindexation to match original grain map IDs



Simulated data – Zset FEA Simulation



- Elements : Linear tetrahedral
- Loading : $\varepsilon_{TOTAL} = 3\%$
- Computation: 1.6G RAM, 4,120s on laptop
- Meshing convergence to be studied



ľ	ń	0,00137482	0,00274964	0,00412446	0,00549928	0,0068741	0 00824892
ľ		prismcum1 map:1.87369		time:0.1 min:0.0000	min:0.00000 max:0.	0 max:0.00824892	





Simulated data – FFT Simulations





- Tested on data from previous ESRF DCT campaign : Anisotropic elasticity
- Actual behavior law to be coded with MFRONT



- 1 deformation increment
- 1 node on CRISTAL cluster
- Computation time : 8 min

Experimental data:

• Digital twin:

First reference stress/strain curves

Defined strategy for SEM in-situ campaign

2 PSICHE scans merged (~ 3,200 grains)

First 3D Lab DCT results

Simulation Data :

- First version of behavior model
- Parameters identification initiated
- FEA results on PSICHE data











Outlook

• Digital twin:

- Complete reconstructions (~10,000 grains)
- Update 6D DCT Rec algorithm for PSICHE

• Experimental data:

- Perform SEM in-situ campaign (DMS student support)
- Explore SEM-DIC potential
- 3D Lab DCT: Travel to Lund

Simulation Data :

- Behavior model: Enrich model
- Parameters identification: Enrich with new tests
- Simulations on complete PSICHE volumes

Statistical learning:

- Extract physical data from structured dataset
- Statistical analysis of plasticity mechanims

20













THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION. ANY QUESTIONS ?

